United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/706,713	11/12/2003	William E. Slack	PO7976/MD-03-30	7245	
157			EXAMINER		
BAYER MATERIAL SCIENCE LLC 100 BAYER ROAD			SERGENT, RABON A		
PITTSBURGH	I, PA 15205		ART UNIT	PAPER ŅUMBER	
			1796		
				·	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/11/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/706,713	SLACK, WILLIAM E.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Rabon Sergent	1796	

	Rabon Seigent	11,90	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 14 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice o ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	f Appeal. To avoid aba ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the maili	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amoun hortened statutory period for reply ori- than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered be	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	sorresponding number of infany re	jected ciaims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		on phanes and an annual form	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wided below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	I sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		may to botom or attach	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		n condition for allowar	ice because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13.		Rabon Sergent	7
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1796	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The prior art rejection has been maintained for the reasons previously set forth within the final Office action. Despite applicants' remarks, the examiner's positions with respect to the Scholl et al. reference and the failure of the claims to exclude such components as p-MDI have been maintained in their entireties. Despite applicants' arguments, the examiner finds no justification for interpreting the claims as argued by applicants. Language stating that the diisocyanate isomer weight percent contents are based on the diisocyanate cannot be construed to exclude any other component, including p-MDI.

RABON SERGENT PRIMARY EXAMINER